

Computational models for clinical trial design in Huntington's disease

Peter Wijeratne



Acknowledgements

UCL CMIC

Daniel Alexander Leon Aksman Maura Bellio Arman Eshaghi Neil Oxtoby Alexandra Young

UCL HDC

Sarah Tabrizi Rachael Scahill Sarah Gregory Eileanoir Johnson Ed Wild Lauren Byrne

CHDI

Cristina Sampaio Amrita Mohan John Warner Dorian Pustina Alexandra Shechtel

And all the participants of the PREDICT, TRACK and IMAGE-HD studies











(a) A Higgs-like boson



(b) CERN sheep outside ATLAS





Measurement

$$n(C_i^{data}) = \frac{1}{\epsilon_i} \sum_j P(T_i^{MC} \mid R_j^{MC}) n(R_j^{data})$$

• Real data are dependent on the detector used to measure them

Bring data back to their natural state by applying hypothesis-driven corrections derived from simulation





I saw this one day in 2013



I wanted to use physics to fight cancer

I asked about for potential opportunities

I got lucky and a postdoc came up at the Centre for Medical Image Computing

Centre for Medical Image Computing (CMIC)

Maths, physics and engineering scientists at the interface of basic and biomedical sciences



CMIC





Imaging	Neur	Onco	Surge	Resp	Fetal	Cardi
Image Analysis	ology a	logy	ery	iratory	, Neon	lovascu
Machine Learning	ind Psy			disease	atal, an	llar dise
Non-imaging data science	chiatry			U.	d Pedia	ase
Robotics and vision					trics	
Inverse Problems						
Computational Modeling						
Integrated Systems						



The Chemical Basis of Morphogenesis

A. M. Turing

Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences, Vol. 237, No. 641. (Aug. 14, 1952), pp. 37-72.



أ



FIGURE 2. An example of a 'dappled' pattern as resulting from a type (a) morphogen system. A marker of unit length is shown. See text, §9, 11.

Computational Modeling

Slight (3 year) diversion: biophysical modelling of drug delivery



Vavourakis, Stylianopoulos, Wijeratne (2018) PLOS Comp Bio

8

day 30

Current work: computational neurology





Huntington's disease



Progressive, hereditary brain disease that causes changes in movement, cognition and behaviour

Autosomal dominant – 50% of inheriting Fully penetrant – everyone with gene will develop HD



Bates et al. Nature Reviews Disease Primer. 2015



Diagnosis made at onset of movement disorder, typically with chorea and impaired voluntary movement

Huntington's disease



Brain changes in HD – specific regions of the brain are atrophied



MRI provides spatial intensity measurements that depend on tissue properties

Observed changes reflected by microscopy (histology)



The problem

Can we estimate where a patient is along their disease path?



Patient stage is a latent variable – it generates the observed measurements, but is not measured directly (unlike in physics events, where we know time)

 \rightarrow Infer using statistical and machine learning methods

Bridging the gap





Clinical sciences





- Biomarker: any biological measurement that tracks disease progression
- Event: transition of a biomarker from a normal to abnormal state (Markovian)
- Sequence: order of events over sample of interest
- Cross-sectional: data from a single time-point



http://adni.loni.usc.edu/study-design/#background-container

A picture of how components of a disease progresses over time

Disease progression models learn patterns of disease-related changes from data



Patient data

- Can use models to infer temporal ordering of changes
- Can also stage and stratify patients \rightarrow clinical trial design



Data can be cross-sectional and any combination of types (imaging, clinical, genetic...)



Â



More formally: EBM is a generative model of observed data from unknown sequence



• The EBM needs likelihood distributions for normal and abnormal subjects

 $[\]rightarrow$ Learn directly from data



Î

Toolkit: parameter estimation



Prince, SJD. Cambridge University Press. 2012

- 1. Mixture model fitting
- Expectation Maximisation



2. Latent variable (sequence) fitting– Gradient Ascent



wikipedia.org/wiki/gradient_descent

3. Uncertainty estimation– Markov Chain Monte Carlo

$$E_{2} \rightarrow E_{1} \rightarrow E_{4} \rightarrow E_{3}$$

$$E_{1} \rightarrow E_{2} \rightarrow E_{4} \rightarrow E_{3}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$E_{2} \rightarrow E_{1} \rightarrow E_{3} \rightarrow E_{4}$$

 $a = p(X \mid S')/p(X \mid S_t)$

Mapping the Huntington's timeline



Unique access to the largest combined multi-modal dataset in Huntington's disease







Train and test disease progression models

Extend disease progression models

Evaluate clinical trials model

Methods: Imaging data



Extract regional brain volumes using Geodesic Information Flows*

\rightarrow Reduces inter-subject variability by using spatially variant graphs to connect morphologically similar subjects

* MJ Cardoso *et al.* Geodesic Information Flows: Spatially-Variant Graphs and Their Application to Segmentation and Fusion. IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging, 34 (2015), pp. 1976-1988, doi: 10.1109/TMI.2015.2418298

EBM in HD





· Dark diagonal components indicate strong event ordering

· Lighter indicate possible event permutations

Atrophy progression





Stage 4: Caudate (1)



Stage 8: Insula WM (1)



Stage 12: Optic Chiasm



Stage 16: Basal Forebrain (r)



Stage 1: Putamen (1)



Stage 5: Pallidum (1)



Stage 9: CSF



Stage 13: 3rd Ventricle



Stage 17: Basal Forebrain (1)



Stage 2: Putamen (r)



Stage 6: Pallidum (r)



Stage 10: Amygdala (l)



Stage 14: Post. Insula (1)



Normal



Stage 3: Caudate (r)



Stage 7: Insula WM (r)



Stage 11: Amygdala (r)



Stage 15: Post. Insula (r)



HD progression



Central

SCIENCE TRANSLATIONAL MEDICINE | RESEARCH ARTICLE

HUNTINGTON'S DISEASE

Evaluation of mutant huntingtin and neurofilament proteins as potential markers in Huntington's disease

Lauren M. Byrne¹*[†], Filipe B. Rodrigues^{1†}, Eileanor B. Johnson¹, Peter A. Wijeratne², Enrico De Vita^{3,4}, Daniel C. Alexander^{2,5}, Giuseppe Palermo⁶, Christian Czech⁶, Scott Schobel⁶, Rachael I. Scahill¹, Amanda Heslegrave⁷, Henrik Zetterberg^{7,8,9,10}, Edward J. Wild¹*



Biofluid markers change before imaging and clinical markers

Staging patients



Simplest way is to take the stage that maximises the likelihood for each patient

$$argmax_k P(X_j | \overline{S}, k) = argmax_k P(k) \prod_{i=1}^k P(x_{ij} | E_i) \prod_{i=k+1}^l P(x_{ij} | \neg E_i)$$



Extending EBM-HD + cross-validation

EBM stage



Age of onset agrees well with gold standard

CAG repeat size

Â



Patient data + machine learning = personalised profiles for clinical trial design



Model can be used for both prospective and retrospective analysis

- \rightarrow Save money and time
- → Optimise trial design



We've looked at cross-sectional modelling, so the natural next step is...

Longitudinal modelling of Huntington's disease biomarkers

- Use Gaussian Process Progression model with Huntington's disease data
 - Biomarker trajectories, relative ordering
- Explore potential methodological developments
 - 'Subtyping' (i.e. clustering covariance)
- Other potential applications of GP-based models in HD
 - GPs with mechanistic constraints, voxelwise data, VAEs
 - Suggestions very welcome!